LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Open Access

Letter to the Editor: Comparison of suprapatellar versus infrapatellar approaches of intramedullary nailing for distal tibia fractures



Ke Lu, Rong-xun Qian and Yi Yin*

Dear Editor.

We read with interest the study by Lu et al [1]. which compared clinical and functional outcomes associated with intramedullary nailing (IMN)-mediated distal tibia fracture treatment via a suprapatellar (SP) or infrapatellar (IP) surgical approach. While we have great appreciation for the work conducted by the authors in the completion of this study, there is one issue that we feel should be addressed in an effort to strengthen this article. In the "Methods" section, the authors described the ideal entry point for tibial nailing of the SP approach as being "in the intersection of tibial midline and tibial plateau articular surface," with this point being shown in Figure 2 of their article. However, other authoritative studies have previously stated that the ideal entry point is instead located "medial to the lateral tibial spine on the anteroposterior radiograph and immediately adjacent and anterior to the articular surface as visualized on the lateral radiograph" [2, 3]. In the tibial medullary canal, the guide wire must be directed towards the central position in both planes [4]. Clearly, the description provided by Lu et al. was inaccurate, and we find that the lateral radiograph in Figure 2b shows a lower entry point. When this point is too low and the nail angle is too large, this can pose a danger to the posterior surface of the proximal tibia, especially when utilizing thick and inflexible nails [5]. The Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research is a very influential journal, and as such, we feel obligated to point out this issue in order to ensure that readers are aware of the importance of entering the medullary canal at the appropriate point when conducting an SP approach and to ensure that the images accompanying the article represent a truly safe technique.

Abbreviations

IMN: Intramedullary nailing; SP: Suprapatellar; IP: Infrapatellar

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Ke Lu drafted the manuscript; Rong-xun Qian and Yi Yin revised it. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This letter was funded by Suzhou Science and Technology Development Plan (CN) (SYSD2020037) and Suzhou Key Clinical Diagnosis and Treatment Technology Project (CN) (LCZX201925).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 15 December 2020 Accepted: 17 January 2021 Published online: 28 January 2021

References

 Lu Y, Wang G, Hu B, Ren C, Sun L, Wang Z, He C, Xue H, Li Z, Zhang K, et al. Comparison of suprapatellar versus infrapatellar approaches of intramedullary nailing for distal tibia fractures. J Orthop Surg Res. 2020; 15(1):422.

Department of Orthopaedics, Affiliated Kunshan Hospital of Jiangsu University, No. 91 West of Qianjin Road, Suzhou 215300, Jiangsu, China



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: yy-19723@163.com

- 2. Tornetta P 3rd, Riina J, Geller J, Purban W. Intraarticular anatomic risks of tibial nailing. J Orthop Trauma. 1999;13(4):247–51.
- McConnell T, Tornetta P 3rd, Tilzey J, Casey D. Tibial portal placement: the radiographic correlate of the anatomic safe zone. J Orthop Trauma. 2001; 15(3):207–9.
- 4. Brink O. Suprapatellar nailing of tibial fractures: surgical hints. Curr Orthop Pract. 2016;27(1):107–12.
- Hernigou P, Cohen D. Proximal entry for intramedullary nailing of the tibia. The risk of unrecognised articular damage. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2000;82(1): 33–41

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

