RESEARCH ARTICLE

Structural equation model analysis of the effect of visceral fat on osteoporosis

Min Tong^{1†}, Yuanyuan Li^{2†}, Kai Rong³, Qi Sun⁴, Jianghong Dai² and Yifei Huang^{1*}

Abstract

Background Osteoporosis is a considerable public health challenge in Moyu County, Xinjiang. Here, we evaluated the influencing factors of osteoporosis in this region.

Methods We recruited 7,761 participants and randomized them into normal and osteoporotic populations based on T-score. The effects of general conditions, body composition, calcium sources and exercise, respiratory exposure, and daily diet on osteoporosis were analyzed. Furthermore, a structural equation model was constructed to uncover the direct and indirect influencing factors of osteoporosis.

Results Among the participants, 1,803 (23.23%) had normal bone mass while 1,496 (19.28%) had osteoporosis. The univariate analysis showed significant differences in the general conditions, body composition, calcium sources and exercise, respiratory exposure, and daily diet. Stratification based on age (45 years) and body mass index (BMI) (18.5 kg/m²) showed variations in the body composition between the two groups; however, the visceral fat differed significantly. Logistic regression analysis affirmed the association of visceral fat index as it was included in all equations, except for age and female menopause. The structural equation exhibited that the general conditions, body composition, and, calcium sources, and exercise were direct factors of osteoporosis, while respiratory exposure and daily diet were indirect factors. The standardized path coefficient was highest in general conditions, followed by body composition, and lastly, calcium sources and exercise.

Conclusion Obesity, besides age and female menopause, is also an influencing factor of osteoporosis. The visceral fat index plays a vital role in osteoporosis. Our findings may provide experimental evidence for early prevention and treatment of osteoporosis.

Keywords Osteoporosis, Structural equation model, Visceral fat

[†]Min Tong and Yuanyuan Li contributed equally to this study.

*Correspondence:

Yifei Huang jerkhuang@163.com

¹The Second Spine Department, Traditional Chinese Medicine Affiliated

Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, No. 116 Huanghe Road,

Urumqi 830000, China ²School of Public Health, Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi

830011, China

³The Fourth Orthopedic Department, Traditional Chinese Medicine Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi 830000, China ⁴Medical Research Design and Data Analysis Center, Traditional Chinese Medicine Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi 830000, China



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.





Open Access

Background

Osteoporosis is a prevalent bone disease that is characterized by low bone mass, deterioration of bone tissue, and destruction of bone structure [1]. The etiology and influencing factors of osteoporosis have been the subject of extensive research [2]. The factors known to affect this disease include age [3], female menopause smoking, drinking, dietary supplements [4], (such as calcium and vitamin D), exercise, and obesity [5].

The relationship between obesity and osteoporosis is complex, and no clear conclusion has been reached yet. On the one hand, obesity may act as a protective factor against osteoporosis and fractures. One previous study [6] has indicated a direct relationship between body mass index (BMI) and bone mineral density (BMD). A subsequent study [7] in postmenopausal women has linked higher BMI to a lesser likelihood of hip fracture. On the other hand, several studies, including meta-analyses, have reported various fractures in women and elderly men after adjusting for BMD [8, 9]. Obesity can be both a risk and a protective factor, and a high BMI is also considered a risk factor for osteoporosis. Research [10] has shown that women who are not obese after menopause tend to have lower bone density and higher rates of osteoporosis. Additionally, obesity may increase the risk of osteoporosis, as adipose tissue can release hormones and cytokines that promote the development of osteoporosis.

The uncertainty regarding the relationship between obesity and osteoporosis may stem from the fact that previous studies used BMI as the primary measure of obesity. Recent studies [11, 12] that focused on the relationship between body composition and health, particularly cardiovascular health, have resolved many of the paradoxes related to obesity and identified excessive body fat as a risk factor. Body composition is composed mostly of fat and non-fat mass, and the fat includes visceral fat and subcutaneous fat. Evidence has shown that non-fat mass is positively correlated with bone density in all skeletal sites and is a protective factor for osteoporosis [13]. Nevertheless, there is an ongoing debate about the relationship between fat mass, different BMI values and standards, and osteoporosis [14, 15]. Furthermore, limited research has focused on visceral fat, which is closely linked to obesity [16].

The structural equation model provides a clear analysis of how individual indicators affect the overall situation and their relationship with each other. In comparison to traditional multivariate regression analysis, it can simultaneously consider the relationships between multiple variables, providing a more comprehensive and accurate analysis for identifying influencing factors. Previous studies [17, 18] have analyzed the influencing factors of osteoporosis in elderly and postmenopausal women using the structural equation model. However, studies on the effects of obesity, abdominal obesity, and visceral fat on osteoporosis are limited. Furthermore, the effect of visceral fat on young adults and male youth has been largely unreported.

Herein, this article investigated the direct and indirect influencing factors of osteoporosis in the rural residents of Moyu, Xinjiang. Data were collected by detailed questionnaires and dietary surveys. The body composition was tested. The structural equation model was constructed to evaluate the influencing factors of osteoporosis. The findings may provide a theoretical basis for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis.

Methods

Sample size calculation

The villages were selected according to the principle of regional representativeness and operability. A multi-stage random cluster sampling method was used to determine the appropriate sample size, estimating a disease prevalence of 15% with a relative error rate of 5‰. The sample size was calculated with the formula $n = \frac{u^2 p(1-p)}{2}$. Finally, we calculated a sample size of 7,056 individuals.

Study participants

We enrolled participants from the Xinjiang Multi-Ethnic Cohort [19]. In detail, between May and November of 2018, a survey of 102 natural villages in seven townships covering 75% of the area in Moyu County, Hotan Prefecture, Xinjiang was conducted. A total of 7,872 individuals were recruited, 7,761 of whom received both bone density and body composition tests. Inclusion criteria: (1) Age between 20 and 75 years old; (2) Individuals willing to participate in the questionnaire survey and physical measurement. Exclusion Criteria: (1) Individuals who cannot cooperate with bone density testing; (2) Pregnant women; (3) Individuals with severe internal or surgical diseases. All participants provided written informed consent. This study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital (approval no. 2018XE0108).

Questionnaire survey

The baseline data of participants were collected using the unified questionnaire from the "Northwest Region Natural Population Cohort Construction" project. The collected data included demographic characteristics, lifestyle factors (such as smoking, drinking, tea drinking, and physical activity), disease history (health status, history of chronic diseases, and female reproductive history), dietary conditions, etc.

Body composition

The body composition was measured using the bioelectrical impedance analyzer (TANITA DC-430MA; TANITA Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The readings for height and weight were accurate at 0.1 cm and 0.1 kg, respectively. Waist circumference was measured from the midpoint between the upper edges of the hips to the lower edge of the ribcage during quiet exhalation, with a reading accuracy of 0.1 cm. The test results included body weight, body fat percentage, non-fat mass, muscle mass fraction, muscle mass, obesity level, visceral fat index (VFI), body water percentage, and basal metabolism.

BMD T-score measurement and osteoporosis diagnosis

BMD T-score was measured at the left calcaneus using SONOST-2000 (OsteoSys, Korea) [20, 21]. This equipment was linked to a computer with analysis software based on Asian standards. The broadband ultrasound attenuation (decibels/MHz) and speed of sound (m/s) were measured. The stiffness index was calculated using the formula: stiffness index= $0.67 \times$ (broadband ultrasound attenuation) +0.28 \times (speed of sound) -420. The measurement results were compared to the Asian standards equipped on the computer to generate a BMD T-score for further evaluation. The BMD T-score was determined as the tested value = (estimated value - average value for individuals of the same gender) / standard deviation. According to the diagnosis standard of the World Health Organization, a BMD T-score ≥ -1.0 was considered normal, -1.0>BMD T-score >-2.5 was considered osteopenia, and a BMD T-score ≤-2.5 was considered osteoporosis.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative data of normal distribution are described by mean and standard deviation and were tested using an F-test followed by LSD. Qualitative data, which are described using absolute numbers and composition ratios, were analyzed using a chi-square test.

The stratified analysis was conducted based on the Chinese adult BMI classification standard. A BMI greater than or equal to 18.5 Kg/m² was categorized as underweight, 18.5 Kg/m² < BMI<24.0 Kg/m² was considered normal, 24.0 Kg/m² < BMI<28.0 Kg/m² was classified as overweight, and BMI \geq 28.0 Kg/m² was defined as obese. The classification for young adults was set at 45 years of age, considering factors such as the World Health Organization's classification for young adults and menopausal status in females.

A multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to analyze the factors affecting osteoporosis, using the normal group as the control and osteoporosis as the event. The influencing factors were stratified according to the entire population, people with BMI>18.5 Kg/m², males with BMI>18.5 Kg/m², females with BMI>18.5 Kg/m², males under the age of 45 with BMI>18.5 Kg/m², and females under the age of 45 with BMI>18.5 Kg/m². A two-sided *P* value less than 0.05 was considered significant. R4.1.1 was used for statistical analysis and graphical plotting.

Structural equation modeling

The structural equation model was constructed using SPSS AMOS 26.0, according to the following three steps. (1) Theoretical model establishment: This step involved proposing theoretical models based on literature review, data collection, and work experience. (2) Exploratory factor analysis: The collected data variables underwent factor analysis, wherein variables that were not reasonably classified were removed to reduce dimensionality and achieve maximum cumulated explained variance. The final variables were then selected. (3) Confirmatory factor analysis: This step involved identifying the model, sample size, parameter estimation, and fitting parameters, and standardizing model path coefficients and factor loads. Model modification followed standardization. The measurement reliability of the model was assessed by the degree to which measured indicators could accurately reflect the connotation of latent variables, measured by the squared multiple correlation coefficient (R^2) of the measured variables. Generally, R²>0.5 was considered reliable.

Results

Baseline data and univariate analysis

In this study, 7761 participants were surveyed and Fig. 1 shows the participant selection and the number of participants during different statistical analyses. The participants were classified into three groups based on the BMD T-score: normal (23.23%; 1,803), osteoporosis (19.28%; 1,496), and osteopenia (57.49%; 4,462). Univariate analysis revealed that age, height, gender, menopause, occupation, education level, previous diseases, rheumatoid arthritis, fractures, calcium sources and exercise (calcium or vitamin D supplements, Labor, and dairy product intake), daily diet (carbonated drinks, meat, and tea consumption), and respiratory exposure (heating or cooking fuel, secondhand smoke exposure, and smoking) showed statistically significant differences among the three groups (P<0.05), as presented in Table 1.

Differences in body composition of age subgroups

Because being underweight is a known factor affecting osteoporosis, only those with a BMI greater than 18.5 Kg/m² were included in the analysis of body composition. We found differences in body composition among three groups: normal, osteoporosis, and osteopenia. Further analysis of the body composition indicators of



Fig. 1 The participant selection and the number of participants during different statistical analyses

the groups aged <45 and \geq 45 revealed differences in body fat percentage, non-fat mass, muscle mass fraction, muscle mass, obesity level, VFI, body water percentage, and basal metabolism in both males and females (supplementary Table S1). However, in the population under the age of 45, there were not many differences in the various body composition indicators (such as body fat percentage, non-fat mass, muscle mass, obesity level, and VFI), except that visceral fat showed variations between osteoporosis and normal group in females (Table 2).

Multivariate logistics regression analysis

Multivariate logistics regression analysis found that age, BMI, VFI, menopause, tea, and environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) were influential factors for osteoporosis in the overall population, as shown in Fig. 2A. After stratifying by BMI>18.5, BMI was no longer a significant factor. Instead, age, VFI, menopause, tea, ETS, and Labor were identified as influential factors for osteoporosis in those with BMI>18.5 (Fig. 2B). By further dividing the population by gender and BMI>18.5, the regression analysis showed that age, VFI, tea, and ETS were influential factors for osteoporosis in men (Fig. 2C), while age, VFI, and menopause were influential factors for women (Fig. 2D). After stratifying by age, the analysis found that VFI, Labor, and fracture were influential factors for men with BMI>18.5 and age<45 years old (Fig. 2E), while VFI and fracture were influential factors for women with BMI>18.5 and age<45 years old (Fig. 2F).

Structural equation model

Owing to the diverse influential factors and the hazy correlation among the variables, we established the structural equation model to further explore the influential factors. In the theoretical model, we initially categorized the influential factors into five aspects, including general situations, body fat, daily diet, exercise, calcium supplementation, and respiratory exposure by conducting single-factor and multiple-factor analyses of osteoporosis. Additionally, exploratory factor analysis revealed that

t/χ^2 Р Variables Normal (n = 1803) Osteoporosis Osteopenia (n = 1496) (n=4462) General condition 57.7±9.66^{*#} Age 49.47 ± 9.47 52.99 ± 9.87 292.48 < 0.001 $155.19 \pm 9.09^{*\#}$ Height (cm) 158.19±7.88 156.69 ± 8.51 9.591 < 0.001 Sex Male 734(40.71) 525(35.09)* 1682(37.7) 11.134 0.004 971(64.91)* Female 1069(59.29) 2780(62.3) 236(15.78) *# 482.756 < 0.001 Menopause No 774(42.93) 1339(30.01) Yes 295(16.36) 735(49.13) 1441(32.29) Not applicable 734(40.71) 525(35.09) 1682(37.7) Farmer 1725(99.02) 1447(99.18) 0.54 0.7633 Occupation 4288(99.21) Others 17(0.98) 12(0.82) 34(0.79) 26(1.8) *# Education level No schooling 19(1.08)51(1.18) 64.783 < 0.001 Elementary school 1099(76.11) 1482(84.59) 3483(80.29) Junior high School 134(7.65) 100(6.93) 321(7.4) High school/vocational 117(6.68) 219(15.17) 483(11.13) school 995(67.83) *# Previous illness 148.913 < 0.001 No 1513(85.87) 3356(76.99) 472(32.17) Yes 249(14.13) 1003(23.01) Rheumatic arthritis 0.002 No 1551(86.02) 1219(81.48)* 3745(83.93) 12.502 Yes 252(13.98) 277(18.52) 717(16.07) Fracture No 1711(94.90) 1378(92.11)* 4174(93.55) 10.61 < 0.001 92(5.10) 118(7.89) 288(6.45) Yes Calcium sources and exercise 303(21.6) *# 34.48 < 0.001 Calcium or vitamin D Both are absent 501(29.98) 1113(26.83) supplements Only one supplement 781(46.74) 785(55.95) 2060(49.66) Both are present 389(23.28) 315(22.45) 975(23.51) 314(21.42) *# 40.33 Labor Rarely 271(15.35) 656(15.02) < 0.001 3-5 times a week 630(35.69) 537(36.63) 1676(38.38) Every day 864(48.95) 615(41.95) 2035(46.6) Dairy product intake Extremely rare 31(1.76) 24(1.65)* 99(2.27) 15.234 0.004 Every week 187(10.59) 207(14.2) 483(11.08) Every day 1548(87.66) 1227(84.16) 3776(86.65) Dailv diet 26(1.8) *# Carbonated drinks 1–3 times per week 34(1.94) 88(2.03) 32.552 < 0.001 4–6 times per week 60(3.43) 46(3.18) 106(2.45) 4–6 times per week 12(0.69) 14(0.97) 34(0.79) Every day 1645(93.95) 1361(94.06) 4099(94.73) Meat 1–3 times per week 319(18.2) 343(23.67)*# 963(22.24) 32.58 < 0.001 4–6 times per week 852(48.6) 593(40.92) 1851(42.74) 4–6 times per week 26(1.48) 39(2.69) 87(2.01) Every day 556(31.72) 474(32.71) 1430(33.02) 673(45.44) *# Tea consumption Never/seldom 923(51.77) 2122(48.09) 38.511 < 0.001 Drink monthly 127(7.12) 105(7.09) 288(6.53) Drink weekly 497(27.87) 550(37.14) 1408(31.91) Drink occasionally on 236(13.24) 153(10.33) 595(13.48) special occasions Respiratory exposure Heating or cooking 712(48.11) *# 0.008 Both 773(43.23) 1896(42.97) 13.66 fuel exposure Coal 896(50.11) 674(45.54) 2242(50.82) Wood/Charcoal 94(6.35) 274(6.21) 119(6.66) Secondhand smoke Every day 53(2.97) 31(2.1) *# 110(2.49) 24.254 < 0.001 exposure Never 293(19.82) 1056(23.95) 473(26.47) 1-5 times per week 1261(70.57) 1154(78.08) 3244(73.56)

Table 1 Univariate analysis of osteoporosis and osteopenia (n = 7761)

Table 1 (continued)

Variables		Normal (<i>n</i> = 1803)	Osteoporosis (n = 1496)	Osteopenia (n=4462)	t/χ²	Р
Smoking	No	1595(91.3)	1306(90.01)	3917(90.73)	1.575	0.455
	Yes	152(8.7)	145(9.99)	400(9.27)		

Note: Compared with Normal, *P < 0.05. Compared with Osteopenia, #P < 0.05

Table 2 Analysis of differences in body composition indicators for participants (n = 1717) with BMI > 18.5 and age < 45

Body	Male (<i>n</i> = 520)					Female ($n = 119$)	7)			
com- posi- tion	Normal (<i>n</i> = 160)	Osteoporosis (n = 66)	Osteopenia (n=294)	F	Р	Normal (<i>n</i> = 438)	Osteoporosis (n = 93)	Osteopenia (n=666)	F	Ρ
Body weight	69.65±10.54	73.78±13.94 ^{*#}	67.39±10.7	9.430	< 0.001	61.92±10.9	62.90±11.77 ^{*#}	59.96±10.60	6.04	0.003
BMI	25.74 ± 3.63	27.07±4.24 ^{*#}	24.82 ± 3.36	11.741	< 0.001	25.94 ± 4.21	$26.67 \pm 4.84^{*\#}$	25.39 ± 4.11	5.033	0.007
Waist cir- cum- ference	92.36±11.07	94.68±15.89	90.89±10.36	3.236	0.04	90.03±11.22	92.31±13.13 ^{*#}	89.34±11.08	2.925	0.054
Body fat per- cent- age	25.35±6.25	27.1±5.37 ^{*#}	24.06±5.97	7.776	0.001	37.64±6.7	38.76±8.52 [#]	36.87±7.14	3.709	0.025
Non- fat mass	51.63±6.39	53.28±7.81*#	50.79±6.31	4.08	0.018	38.04±4.18	37.79±4.73	37.32±4.52*	3.580	0.028
Muscle mass fraction	14.76±3.88	15.94±4.68 [#]	13.77±3.77	9.463	< 0.001	14.13±3.71	14.20±3.89	13.67±3.71	2.358	0.095
Muscle mass	48.93±6.09	50.51±7.42 ^{*#}	48.14±6	4.088	0.017	35.87±3.82	35.66±4.39	$35.23 \pm 4.16^{*}$	3.437	0.033
Obe- sity level	17±16.95	23.73±19.63 ^{*#}	12.87±15.25	12.766	< 0.001	18.02±19.13	21.11±22.16 ^{*#}	15.63±18.77	4.443	0.012
VFI	10.55 ± 3.52	12.77±3.35*	11.24 ± 3.50	11.266	< 0.001	6.68 ± 2.43	$7.24 \pm 2.69^{*}$	6.95 ± 2.40	3.109	0.045
Body water per- cent- age	51.87±3.96	50.55±4.01 [#]	52.13±4.66	3.499	0.031	46.95±3.7	46.24±5.35	47.23±3.74	2.852	0.058
Basal metab- olism	1444.28±180.52	1493.7±239.37 [#]	1413.68±182.48	5.17	0.006	1163.03±141.96	1161.03±150.91 [#]	1136.03±143.27*	5.112	0.006

Note: BMI: body mass index; VFI: visceral fat index

Compared with Normal, *P<0.05. Compared with Osteopenia, #P<0.05

after dimension reduction, a total of 13 relevant factors were obtained, and the matrix of the relevant factors is shown in Table 3.

In confirmatory factor analysis, there were 13 exogenous measurement variables (p) and 5 measurement variables (q), with a total of 171 data points and 55 free parameters (t). As t was less than the number of data points, the model was identifiable. The fit indices were as follows: RMSEA=0.044, NFI=0.973, RFI=0.962, IFI=0.977, TLI=0.967, and CFI=0.977, all of which were >0.9, indicating a good model fit. The standardized path coefficients and factor loadings are presented in Fig. 3, and the regression weights of each factor are shown in Table 4, with R² values greater than 0.5 for all indices except for tea and meat consumption. The structural equation model revealed that the general condition, body fat, and calcium sources and exercise directly affected osteoporosis, while respiratory exposure and daily diet indirectly affected osteoporosis through their mutual influence with the general condition, body fat, and calcium sources. The standardized path coefficient of the general condition (i.e., degree of influence) was the highest, followed by body composition, and finally, calcium sources and exercise.



Fig. 2 Factors affecting osteoporosis. VFI, visceral fat index; ETS, environmental tobacco smoke; MP, menopause. The osteoporosis was considered event y = 1. When analyzing the entire population, males were included as the third variable in the equation. (A) Factors affecting osteoporosis in the entire population of this survey. (B) Factors affecting osteoporosis in participants with BMI > 18.5 kg/m². (C) Factors affecting osteoporosis in females with BMI > 18.5 kg/m². (C) Factors affecting osteoporosis in males with BMI > 18.5 kg/m². (C) Factors affecting osteoporosis in females with BMI > 18.5 kg/m². (C) Factors affecting osteoporosis in females with BMI > 18.5 kg/m² and under 45 years of age.

Table 3 The matrix of	the relevant f	factors											
	Heating	Second-	Menopause	Age	Labor	Calcium	Dairy	Osteoporosis	BMI	VFI	Fruits and	Meat	Теа
		hand				sources	products				vegetables		-uoo
		smoke				and vita-							-dwns
						min D							tion
Heating	-0.005												
Second-hand smoke	-0.156	0.022											
Menopause	0.428	0.219	-0.076										
Age	-0.401	-5.084	1.805	0.033									
Labor	-0.102	1.744	0.594	1.533	0.000								
Calcium sources and	0.844	5.288	0.091	2.791	0.180	0.000							
vitamin D													
Dairy products	-2.919	-4.960	1.380	-0.276	-0.096	0.176	0.000						
Osteoporosis	1.904	1.923	-0.951	-0.048	-1.129	1.249	-3.288	0.038					
BMI	-0.601	0.782	-1.608	-1.113	1.621	5.344	-1.353	0.176	0.000				
VFI	-0.303	1.135	-1.549	-0.679	1.499	5.337	-1.625	-0.520	0.000	0.000			
Fruits and vegetables	-0.761	-0.846	1.199	1.077	-0.623	0.130	-0.847	2.004	0.973	1.440	0.004		
Meat	0.463	2.824	0.295	0.175	0.349	1.681	-1.626	1.730	1.897	2.201	2.873	0.002	
Tea consumption	-0.265	2.358	-4.062	3.900	0.514	4.484	-4.534	3.473	3.809	4.067	4.168	-1.050	0.002
Note: BMI: body mass index	<: VFI: visceral fat	index											

Discussion

This study utilized various methods to explore the influencing factors of osteoporosis. Some of our results, such as the influencing factors of age, gender, menopause, education level, fractures, calcium or vitamin D supplements, labor, and dairy product intake, were consistent with previous findings [22, 23], while some results were revealed for the first time. Specifically, we found that body composition, especially visceral fat, exhibited an impact on osteoporosis, as shown through single-factor analysis, logistic regression analysis, and the structural equation model. The underlying mechanism deserves further exploration. It has been reported that in addition to body weight, the body composition and weight distribution of the trunk and legs have significant effects in preventing osteoporosis [22].

Osteoporosis is a disease commonly associated with aging. Menopause in women has long been the focus of research on this disease, as a lack of estrogen is linked to bone loss [24]. It is generally believed that adipocytes can produce sex steroids including estrogen, which can promote bone differentiation, inhibit the formation of osteoclasts, and induce apoptosis of osteoclasts [25]. However, this effect requires that the adipose tissue be subcutaneous, as estrogens in subcutaneous adipose tissue are associated with higher levels of circulating estrogens that have a positive effect on bone mass and mineralization [26]. Conversely, this effect is not observed in obese patients with high visceral fat, and instead, an increase in visceral adipose tissue is associated with a decrease in BMD [27]. Men with higher body weight due to the action of testosterone are subject to the same phenomenon [28, 29]. Furthermore, obese men tend to have lower levels of sex hormone-binding globulin, which increases levels of free sex steroids and has been associated with lower BMD [30]. Besides hormonal factors, inflammatory mechanisms also have a significant impact on the relationship between fat and osteoporosis [31]. Abdominal visceral adipose tissue contains higher levels of adipokines [32], which increase the number of inflammatory factors and lead to elevated bone resorption and decreased bone formation [33]. This accelerates the progression of osteoporosis [34]. Therefore, reducing the accumulation of visceral fat, maintaining a proper weight and fat distribution, consuming a balanced diet, and increasing physical activity can help prevent and delay the occurrence of osteoporosis [1].

This study found that previous chronic disease would affect osteoporosis, which is consistent with previous studies on diabetes [35], elevated thyroid hormone levels [36], chronic gastrointestinal diseases [37], etc. These previous diseases can increase the risk of osteoporosis. However, in addition to the related mechanisms of comorbidities, obesity also has a significant



Fig. 3 Structural equation model of factors affecting osteoporosis. VFI: visceral fat index; ETS: environmental tobacco smoke; MP: menopause. The osteoporosis was considered event y = 1. When analyzing the entire population, males were included as the third variable in the equation

impact on these diseases. Further research is essential to determine whether obesity has a causal role in the development of osteoporosis through its complex pathway. Smoking might elevate the risk of osteoporosis and fractures. Smoke exposure could potentially increase the level of superoxide free radicals and decrease the activity of intracellular glutathione reductase in mesenchymal stem cells, which could hinder osteogenic differentiation [38]. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that smoking-related inflammation could induce changes in the expression of genes related to bone remodeling [39]. However, this study did not find a relationship between osteoporosis and smoking or alcohol consumption, possibly because the population in this area influenced by religious beliefs is unwilling to disclose the true situation to investigators. However, the study found that exposure to inhaled substances in the environment, such as burning fuels such as firewood/charcoal and the way of winter heating, is related to osteoporosis, which may be similar to the principle of smoking.

There are some limitations to this study. For example, this epidemiological survey was conducted in rural areas and the BMD was measured using a portable bone density meter. The diagnosis of osteoporosis was made based on the BMD results rather than the gold standard diagnosis method. Although the results are reliable, there may be deviations in defining population diseases. Further studies are warranted.

Table 4	Regression	weights	among	structural	equation factors

Indicators		Estimate	S.E.	C. <i>R</i> .	Р
Osteoporosis	General condition	0.128	0.013	9.693	< 0.001
	Body fat	0.13	0.035	3.717	< 0.001
	Calcium sources and exercise	0.128	0.035	3.683	< 0.001
Daily diet	Tea consumption	1			
	Meat	-0.542	0.111	-4.891	< 0.001
	Fruits and vegetables	-0.264	0.05	-5.277	< 0.001
Body fat	VFI	1			
	BMI	4.994	0.227	21.97	< 0.001
Calcium sources and exercise	Dairy product	1			
	Calcium sources and vitamin D	0.989	0.029	33.643	< 0.001
	Labor	5.293	0.351	15.064	< 0.001
General condition	Age	1			
	Menopause	-0.043	0.003	-12.406	< 0.001
Respiratory exposure	Second-hand smoke	1			
	Heating	1.293	0.168	7.718	< 0.001

Note: BMI: body mass index; VFI: visceral fat index

Conclusions

We demonstrated that age, female menopause, and obesity were influencing factors of osteoporosis. Particularly, VFI has a significant impact on osteoporosis. Our findings may provide experimental evidence for early prevention and treatment of osteoporosis.

Abbreviations

BMIbody mass indexBMDbone mineral densityVFIvisceral fat index

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13018-024-04888-5.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

Min Tong: conceptualization, investigation, methodology, data curation, writing—original draft; Yuanyuan Li: investigation, methodology, data curation, writing—original draft; Kai Rong: investigation, formal analysis, writing—review and editing; Qi Sun: data curation formal analysis, writing—review and editing; Jianghong Dai: formal analysis, data curation, writing—review and editing; Yifei Huang: conceptualization, writing—review and editing, funds collection, supervision, project administration.

Funding

This study was supported by the High-level Leading Talents Project for Technological Innovation - Top-level Leading Talents (2022TSYCLJ0027).

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital (approval no. 2018XE0108).

Consent for publication

All participants provided written informed consent.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 9 May 2024 / Accepted: 29 June 2024 Published online: 16 July 2024

References

- Cacciatore S, Massaro C, Landi F. Preventing osteoporosis, Sarcopenia and obesity to Care about Quality of Life. Ann Geriatr Med Res. 2023;27(1):87–90.
- Aibar-Almazán A, Voltes-Martínez A, Castellote-Caballero Y, Afanador-Restrepo DF, Carcelén-Fraile MDC, López-Ruiz E. Current status of the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(16):9465.
- Ciancia S, van Rijn RR, Högler W, et al. Osteoporosis in children and adolescents: when to suspect and how to diagnose it. Eur J Pediatr. 2022;181(7):2549–61.
- Zhang L, Zheng YL, Wang R, Wang XQ, Zhang H. Exercise for osteoporosis: a literature review of pathology and mechanism. Front Immunol. 2022;13:1005665.
- Gkastaris K, Goulis DG, Potoupnis M, Anastasilakis AD, Kapetanos G. Obesity, osteoporosis and bone metabolism. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact. 2020;20(3):372–81.
- Khosla S, Atkinson EJ, Riggs BL, Melton LJ. Relationship between body composition and bone mass in women. J Bone Min Res. 2009;11(6):857–63.
- van der Voort DJM, Geusens PP, Dinant GJ. Risk factors for osteoporosis related to their outcome: fractures. Osteoporos Int. 2001;12(8):630–8.
- Migliorini F, Giorgino R, Hildebrand F, et al. Fragility fractures: risk factors and management in the Elderly. Med (Kaunas). 2021;57(10):1119.
- Migliorini F, Colarossi G, Baroncini A, Eschweiler J, Tingart M, Maffulli N. Pharmacological management of postmenopausal osteoporosis: a Level I evidence based - Expert Opinion. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2021;14(1):105–19.
- Zhou Y, Li Y, Zhang D, Wang J, Yang H. Prevalence and predictors of osteopenia and osteoporosis in postmenopausal Chinese women with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2010;90(3):261–9.

- Scott D, Seibel MJ, Cumming R, et al. Comparison of clinical risk factors for incident fracture in obese and non-obese community-dwelling older men. Bone. 2020;137:115433.
- 12. Gandham A, Zengin A, Bonham MP, et al. Incidence and predictors of fractures in older adults with and without obesity defined by body mass index versus body fat percentage. Bone. 2020;140:115546.
- Sheng Z, Xu K, Ou Y, et al. Relationship of body composition with prevalence of osteoporosis in central south Chinese postmenopausal women. Clin Endocrinol. 2011;74(3):319–24.
- 14. Blum M, Harris SS, Must A, et al. Leptin, body composition and bone Mineral Density in Premenopausal Women. Calcif Tissue Int. 2003;73(1):27–32.
- Kang DH, Guo LF, Guo T, et al. Association of body composition with bone mineral density in northern Chinese men by different criteria for obesity. J Endocrinol Invest. 2014;38(3):323–31.
- Li X, Gong X, Jiang W. Abdominal obesity and risk of hip fracture: a metaanalysis of prospective studies. Osteoporos Int. 2017;28(10):2747–57.
- Perna S, Gasparri C, Allehdan S, et al. Discovering the Physio-Pathological mechanisms of Interaction between Bone Mineral density, muscle Mass, and visceral adipose tissue in female older adults through structural equation modeling. J Clin Med. 2023;12(6):2269.
- Lin SF, Fan YC, Pan WH, Bai CH, Corrigendum. Bone and lean Mass loss and cognitive impairment for healthy elder adults: analysis of the Nutrition and Health Survey in Taiwan 2013–2016 and a Validation Study with Structural equation modeling. Front Nutr. 2021;8:839017.
- Tao L, Tian T, Liu L, et al. Cohort profile: the Xinjiang Multiethnic Cohort (XMC) study. BMJ Open. 2022;12(5):e048242.
- Ren X, Hu C, Wang W, et al. Association between physical activity, sedentary behavior patterns with bone health among Chinese older women. Bone. 2024;181:117025.
- Gao C, Song H, Chen B, Zhang Z, Yue H. The Assessment of the osteoporosis Self-Assessment Tool for asians and Calcaneal Quantitative Ultrasound in identifying osteoporotic fractures and falls among Chinese people. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2021;12:684334.
- 22. Singh G, Prakash K, Choudhary R. Lean body mass, body fat percentage, and handgrip strength as predictors of bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. J Mid-life Health. 2021;12(4):299.
- 23. Gopinath V, Osteoporosis. Med Clin North Am. 2023;107(2):213–25.
- Cheng C-H, Chen L-R, Chen K-H. Osteoporosis due to hormone imbalance: an overview of the effects of Estrogen Deficiency and glucocorticoid overuse on bone turnover. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(3):1376.
- Fischer V, Haffner-Luntzer M. Interaction between bone and immune cells: implications for postmenopausal osteoporosis. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2022;123:14–21.

- Noirrit-Esclassan E, Valera M-C, Tremollieres F, et al. Critical role of Estrogens on Bone Homeostasis in both male and female: from physiology to Medical implications. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(4):1568.
- Pinar-Gutierrez A, Garcia-Fontana C, Garcia-Fontana B, Munoz-Torres M. Obesity and bone health: a complex relationship. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(15).
- Ilovayskaya I, Zektser V, Lazebnik L. Factors of mineral homeostasis impairment and bone mineral density loss in women with central hypogonadism. Climacteric. 2020;23(6):597–602.
- 29. Khosla S, Monroe DG. Regulation of bone metabolism by sex steroids. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Med. 2017;8(1):a031211.
- Paller CJ, Shiels MS, Rohrmann S, et al. Relationship of sex steroid hormones with bone mineral density (BMD) in a nationally representative sample of men. Clin Endocrinol. 2009;70(1):26–34.
- 31. Kolb H. Obese visceral fat tissue inflammation: from protective to detrimental? BMC Med. 2022;20(1):494.
- 32. Machado LG, Domiciano DS, Figueiredo CP, et al. Visceral fat measured by DXA is associated with increased risk of non-spine fractures in nonobese elderly women: a population-based prospective cohort analysis from the São Paulo Ageing & Health (SPAH) Study. Osteoporos Int. 2016;27(12):3525–33.
- Gautier A, Bonnet F, Dubois S, et al. Associations between visceral adipose tissue, inflammation and sex steroid concentrations in men. Clin Endocrinol. 2013;78(3):373–8.
- Choi HS, Kim KJ, Kim KM, et al. Relationship between visceral adiposity and bone Mineral density in Korean adults. Calcif Tissue Int. 2010;87(3):218–25.
- Rhodes MA, Adams CS, Bragg S, Christian N. Thyroid and parathyroid conditions: hyperthyroidism. FP Essent. 2022;514:11–7.
- Xu T, Zhou P, Li H, Ding Q, Hua F. MicroRNA -577 aggravates bone loss and bone remodeling by targeting thyroid stimulating hormone receptor in hyperthyroid-associated osteoporosis. Environ Toxicol. 2021;37(3):539–48.
- 37. Montoro-Huguet MA, Belloc B, Domínguez-Cajal M. Small and large intestine (I): malabsorption of nutrients. Nutrients. 2021;13(4):1254.
- Xu J, Qiu X, Liang Z, et al. Exposure to tobacco smoke increases bone loss in spontaneously hypertensive rats. Inhal Toxicol. 2018;30(6):229–38.
- Lu Y, Di YP, Chang M, et al. Cigarette smoke-associated inflammation impairs bone remodeling through NFκB activation. J Transl Med. 2021;19(1):163.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.