**Open Access** 

# Electrophoretic deposition of magnesium oxide coating on micro-arc oxidized titanium for antibacterial activity and biocompatibility

Xinli Fan<sup>1†</sup>, Jiaheng Du<sup>2†</sup>, Yaohua Li<sup>1</sup>, Ke Duan<sup>2\*</sup> and Gangli Liu<sup>1\*</sup>

# Abstract

Titanium (Ti) dental implants face risks of early failure due to bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation. It is thus necessary to endow the implant surface with antibacterial ability. In this study, magnesium oxide (MgO) coatings were prepared on Ti by combining micro-arc oxidation (MAO) and electrophoretic deposition (EPD). The MgO nanoparticles homogeneously deposited on the microporous surface of MAO-treated Ti, yielding increasing coverage with the EPD time increased to 15 to 60 s. After co-culture with *Porphyromonas gingivalis* (*P. gingivalis*) for 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h, the coatings produced antibacterial rates of 4–53 %, 27–71 %, and 39–79 %, respectively, in a dose-dependent manner. Overall, EPD for 45 s offered satisfactory comprehensive performance, with an antibacterial rate 79 % at 72 h and a relative cell viability 85 % at 5 d. Electron and fluorescence microscopies revealed that, both the density of adherent bacterial adhesion on the surface of each group was intact and there was no significant difference among the groups. These results show that, the MgO coating deposited on MAO-treated Ti by EPD had reasonably good in vitro antibacterial properties and cytocompatibility.

**Keywords** Dental implant, Titanium, Micro-arc oxidation, Electrophoretic deposition, Magnesium oxide, Antibacterial, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* 

<sup>†</sup>Xinli Fan, Jiaheng Du contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence: Ke Duan keduan@swmu.edu.cn Gangli Liu

liugangli@sdu.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup> Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, School and Hospital of Stomatology, Shandong University & Shandong Key Laboratory of Oral Tissue Regeneration & Shandong Engineering Laboratory for Dental Materials and Oral Tissue Regeneration & Shandong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Oral Diseases, Cheeloo College of Medicine, No.44-1 Wenhua Road West, Jinan 250012, Shandong, China

<sup>2</sup> Sichuan Provincial Laboratory of Orthopaedic Engineering, Department of Bone and Joint Surgery, Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Medical University, 25 Taiping Rd, Luzhou 646000, Sichuan, China

## Introduction

Titanium (Ti) dental implants are widely used to repair tooth loss. However, early infection remains a major risk of failure, with *Porphyromonas gingivalis* (*P. gingivalis*) being the leading pathogen [1-3]. Consequently, endowing dental implants with antibacterial capacities is clinically desirable.

Micro-arc oxidation (MAO) is a surface modification technique successfully applied to dental implants. In MAO, the implant is immersed in an electrolyte and a high voltage is applied, which creates local discharges (*i.e.*, arcs). The high temperature and pressure accompanying the arcs convert the implant surface into a titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) layer with roughness and porosity at micrometer dimension [4]. Biologically, this porous TiO<sub>2</sub> layer provides satisfactory biocompatibility for adjacent



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.go/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.go/licenses/by/4.0/. The CreativeCommons.go/licenses/by/4.0/. The CreativeCommons the provide and the

bone regeneration and implant fixation [5]. Physically, this layer also offers a possible space for the storage and release of antimicrobial substances [6, 7]. For example, Jia et al. fabricated silver nanoparticles on MAO-modified Ti (MAO-Ti) surface, and achieved an antibacterial rate of 99.85 % against *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) [7]. However, silver is considerably cytotoxic to cells [8–10]. Therefore, it is still necessary to develop a implant surface that reduces bacterial infection without adversely affecting biocompatibility.

Magnesium (Mg) is an essential element for the human body (~20–30 g/adult), with a recommended daily intake of ~ 330 mg. Recently, Mg and several related compounds have been reported to possess antibacterial and ostegenic activities [11–13]. Lin et al. prepared MgO coating on Ti by magnetron sputtering; after co-culture with *P. gingivalis* for 24 h, the MgO coating produced antibacterial rates of 78.14–99.86 % [14]. Coelho et al. prepared MgO/ hydroxyapatite composites and co-cultured them with three bacterial species for 24 h. They observed that, the adhesion and growth of the bacteria were all significantly inhibited [15].

Electrophoretic deposition (EPD) is a simple and efficient coating technique extensively investigated for the deposition of nano-sized particles on Ti implants to form osteogenic and antibacterial coatings [16]. Suntharavel et al. prepared nano-sized hydroxyapatite coating on Ti by EPD, and found the coating promoted the attachment and proliferation of osteoblasts after co-culture for 7 d [17]. Hickey et al. prepared nano-sized MgO coating on polylactic acid by EPD, and observed that the coating produced antibacterial rates of 64–90 % after co-culture with three bacterial species for 4 h [18].

Given the rough and porous nature of MAO-Ti surface and the technical advantages of EPD, it appears reasonable that, MAO-Ti may enable the entrapment-anchoring of nano-sized MgO deposit (i.e., coating) prepared by EPD. However, this has not been explored by available studies. The present study reported EPD of MgO on MAO-Ti and evaluated its in vitro antibacterial activity and biocompatibility.

#### **Materials and methods**

#### Micro-arc oxidation of titanium

Commercially pure Ti sheets (Grade 2, thickness 1 mm; Baoti Group, Baoji, Shanxi, China) were cut into  $30 \times 10$  mm rectangular samples, abraded to 1200 grit with silicon carbide abrasive paper, etched in a mixture acid [3 % (w/w) hydrofluoric acid (Chuandong Chemical, Chongqing, China) and 5 % (w/w) nitric acid (Ghtech, Guangzhou, Guangzhou, China)] for 1 min, and sonicated in deionized water for 30 min. A cleaned Ti samples and a 316 stainless steel plate ( $100 \times 20$  mm) were

installed on a fixture (Ti-stainless distance: 5 cm) and both partially immersed in an aqueous electrolyte [0.8 % (w/w)  $\beta$ -glycerophosphate sodium (Macklin, Shanghai, China), 5.9 % (w/w) calcium acetate (Macklin)] to serve as the positive and negative electrodes, respectively. A DC voltage of 300 V (STP-400 V/200A.D.R; Sanyang Instrument, Zhongshan, Guangdong, China) was applied between the electrodes for 30 s. The Ti sample was removed and sonicated repeatedly in deionized water.

# Electrophoretic deposition of nano-sized MgO on micro-arc oxidized titanium

Nano-sized MgO powder (0.5 g) (30 nm; Xinkang Advanced Materials, Changsha, Hunan, China) was suspended in 150 mL of acetone (Chuandong Chemical) and sonicated for 60 min at room temperature to form a suspension. An MAO-Ti sample (Sect. "Micro-arc oxidation of titanium") and a platinum plate ( $20 \times 20$  mm, Ledonlab, Shanghai, China) were partially immersed into the suspension to serve as the negative and positive electrodes, respectively. A DC voltage of 40 V was applied (Keithley, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China) for 0, 15, 30, 45, or 60 s [19]. The samples obtained were named EPD-0, EPD-15, EPD 30, EPD-45, and EPD-60, respectively.

#### Physicochemical characterizations

Phase identification was performed by X-ray diffraction (XRD; CuK $\alpha$ , 40 kV, 20 mA; TD-3500, Tongda Instrument, Dandong, Liaoning, China). Surface morphology and elements present at the surface were studied by scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM; JEOL JSM-7500F) and paired energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS, Bruker 1048).

#### In vitro cytocompatibility *Cell isolation*

Human gingival tissue samples were collected from a patient undergoing tooth extraction at Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Stomatological Hospital of Shandong University. For experiments involving human tissue, informed consent has been obtained by patient Runzhe Yang. Primary human gingival fibroblasts (HGF) were isolated from the tissue samples by tissue block attachment [20] and routinely cultured (37 °C, 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> –95 % air, 100 % relative humidity; Thermo Fisher 3111GP) in a standard medium [89 % high glucose Dulbecco's modified eagle medium, 10 % fetal bovine serum (both Gibco), 1 % penicillin/streptomycin (Beyotime, Shanghai, China)]. This study was approved by Ethics Review Committee of Shandong University, and all experiments were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

#### Cytotoxicity assay

Samples were sterilized by dry heating (250 °C, 1 h) and placed in 24 well plates; 1 mL of the HGF suspension  $(1 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/mL})$  was pipetted on each sample and cultured for 1, 3, or 5 d. Then, 200 µL of CCK-8 reagent (Bioground, Chongqing, China) was added to each well and incubated for 2 h. Then, 100 µL of the liquid in each well was aspirated into a 96-well plate and measured for optical density (450 nm; Infinite M Nano microplate reader, Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). Additionally, 200 µL of CCK-8 reagent was added to wells containing 1 mL of HGF cell suspension but no sample and treated otherwise identically to serve as the control group. Finally, 200 µL of CCK-8 reagent was added to wells containing only 1 mL of culture medium (i.e., no cells nor sample) to serve as the blank group. The viability of cells was calculated by: Cell viability = [(OD<sub>sample group</sub>-OD<sub>blank group</sub>) /  $(OD_{control group} - OD_{blank group})] \times 100\%$ .

#### Live/dead staining

After above co-culture (Sect. "Cytotoxicity assay"), selected samples were rinsed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), stained with Live/Dead cell imaging kits (Thermo Fisher R37601) for 15 min, and imaged under an inverted fluorescence microscope (Zeiss Axio Vert. A1). Unless otherwise specified, in following sections, all PBS rinsing procedures were  $2 \text{ mL} \times 3$ .

#### Cell morphology

After culture for 5 d (Sect. "Cytotoxicity assay"), selected samples were rinsed with PBS, immersed in 4 % (v/v) paraformaldehyde (Biosharp, Hefei, Anhui, China) for 15 min, and rinsed with PBS. TritonX-100 [0.1 % (v/v); 500  $\mu$ L; Beyotime] was added to each well, allowed to rest for 10 min to lyse the membrane, and rinsed with PBS. The cells were stained with phalloidin (Alexa Fluor 488, Thermo Fisher) and DAPI (4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) (Beyotime, China) following manufacturer instructions. Finally, the samples were observed under a laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM980).

# In vitro antibacterial activity Antibacterial assay

*P. gingivalis* (ATCC33277, HS1825, Jihebio, Shanghai, China) was made into single colonies. One colony was picked and cultured in 10 mL of Brain Heart Infusion Broth (HB8478) supplemented with vitamin K and hemin (all Hopebio, Qingdao, Shandong, China) for 24 h (37 °C, 150 rpm). The bacterial suspension was diluted to  $1 \times 10^5$  CFU/mL, inoculated uniformly onto sterilized samples preplaced in a 24-well plate, and incubated anaerobically for 24, 48, or 72 h. Subsequently, 2.5 mL

One millimeter of the liquid was collected from each well and combined with 9 mL of PBS. This combined liquid was diluted  $10^5$ -fold; 200 µL of the dilutent was inoculated on BHI agar (Hopebio) and incubated (37 °C) for 24 h; and the colonies formed were counted. The antibacterial rate was calculated by: antibacterial rate = [(control group- sample group)/control group] × 100%.

In a separate experiment, bactericidal efficacies of the samples were evaluated by measuring total superoxide dismutase (SOD) activities as follows. The bacteria were inoculated on the samples and cultured identically. The culture medium was blown, and 60  $\mu$ L was aspirated to an centrifugation tube and assayed for with a commercial kit (Beyotime, S0109) following manufactuer's instructions.

#### Bacterial morphology

After culture for 72 h, the samples were rinsed with PBS to eliminate non-adherent bacteria, fixed with 4 % (v/v) paraformaldehyde for 24 h, dehydrated by immersion in ethanol series (50, 70, 80, 90 % once, 100 % twice, 15 min each; all v/v), and studied by SEM.

#### Live/dead staining

Selected above co-cultured samples (Sect. "Antibacterial assay") were rinsed with PBS, stained with LIVE/DEAD BacLight Bacterial Viability Kit (Thermo Fisher L7012) following manufacturer instructions, and observed under the inverted fluorescence microscope.

#### Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA, SPSS 16.0, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) and Tukey multiple comparison test. A p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Result

#### Morphology, surface elements, and phase

SEM observation revealed a large number of crater-like micropores on the surface of EPD-0 (i.e., micro-arc oxidized Ti) (Fig. 1a, b), with a diameter of approximately  $2-6 \mu m$ . After 15 - 60 s of EPD (Fig. 1c–j), aggregated nano-sized MgO particles were deposited its surface. Surface coverage of the aggregates increased with EPD time, with EPD-45 and EPD-60 reaching nearly completely coverage (Fig. 1g–j). On EPD-15, some aggregates were located inside micropores (Fig. 1c, d). EDS found Oxygen (O), Calcium (Ca), Ti on the surface of EPD-0 (Fig. 2). In comparison, Mg was additionally detected from EPD-15 to EPD-60, yielding increasing peak intensities with EPD



Fig. 1 a-j SEM images of each samples. a, b EPD-0; c, d EPD-15; e, f EPD-30; g, h EPD-45; i, j EPD-60



Fig. 2 EDS spectra of each samples

time relative to the peaks of O, Ca, and Ti. XRD found  $TiO_2$  from all samples (Fig. 3). MgO was detected from EPD-15 to EPD-60, with increasing peak intensities with EPD time relative to those of  $TiO_2$ .

#### Cytocompatibility

Figure 4 depicts the relative survival rates of HGF cells cultured on various surfaces. Between 1 and 5 d, the relative survival rate of each group increased with the time of culture. At each time point, it decreased with the EPD time. On day 1, the survival rates of groups EPD-15 to EPD-60 were reduced by 8 %, 15 %, 17 %, and 24 %, respectively, compared with EPD-0. With the progression of culture time, the difference between groups narrowed. On day 5, they were reduced by 4 %, 8 %, 10 %, and 13 % (vs. EPD-0), respectively. On day 1, the difference between EPD-0 and EPD-60 was statistically significant (p=0.004). No statistically significant difference was detected at other time points or between other group pairs. According to ISO 10993–5[21], a relative survival



Fig. 3 XRD spectra of each samples



Fig. 4 Viability of HGFs after co-culture on samples for 1, 3 and 5 d. Numbers 5 indicates a statistically significant difference vs. EPD-60 ( $\rho < 0.05$ )

rate  $\geq$  70% is considered non-cytotoxic. Based on this criterion, EPD-60 was cytotoxic (survival rate = 65%) only on day 1. No other group was found cytotoxic at any time point.

Live/Dead staining of samples cultured for 5 d (Fig. 5) revealed a small number of dead cells (red pixels) on EPD-15 to EPD-60, with increasing numbers with the EPD time. After culture for 5 d, the capacity of HGF cells to develop cytoskeleton was examined by staining of cytoskeletal actinfibers (green fluorescence) and nuclei (bule fluorescence) (Fig. 6). It was observed that, each group formed fibrous cytoskeletons distributing throughout the cytoplasm, indicating that the MgO coatings did not disturb the organization of cytoskeleton.

#### Antibacterial activity

Figure 7a shows representative photographs of the bacterial colonies formed on agar plates 1 d after inoculation of diluted *P. gingivalis* suspensions derived from each group after co-culture for 72 h. It was evident that, the number of colonies decreased sequentially from EPD-0 to EPD-60. Calculations showed that, the antibacterial rates of EPD-15 to EPD-60 (Fig. 7b) were  $4\pm 3$  %,  $26\pm 7$  %,  $31\pm 13$  %, and  $53\pm 16$  % at 24 h,  $27\pm 5$  %,  $55\pm 8$  %,  $69\pm 11$  %, and  $71\pm 4$  % at 48 h, and  $39\pm 4$  %,  $69\pm 15$  %,  $72\pm 5$  %, and  $79\pm 6$  % at 72 h, respectively. At 24 h, the difference between EPD-15 and EPD-60 was statistically significant differences between EPD-15 and EPD-15 and EPD-30 to EPD-60 (p < 0.05).

At 24 h (Fig. 7c), the SOD activity measured from the 5 groups were  $4.2 \pm 0.2$ ,  $1.4 \pm 1.3$ ,  $1.4 \pm 1.0$ ,  $1.3 \pm 0.1$ , and  $1.0 \pm 0.5$ , units, respectively. The differences between EPD-0 and the other four groups were statistically significant (all p < 0.05).



Fig. 5 Fluorescent micrographs of Live/Dead-stained HGFs seeded on samples after co-culture for 5 d. Red pixels: dead cells; green pixels: viable cells. All scale bars: 50 µm. White circles: dead cells



**Fig. 6** Low and high-power fluorescence micrographs of HGFs seeded on samples after co-culture for 5 d. HGFs stained for cykoskeleton fibers (green pixels) and nuclei (blue pixels). All low-power scale bars: 20 μm; all high-power scale bar: 100 μm. White circles: regions shown at a higher power view in lower panel

SEM observations of samples co-cultured for 72 h found that (Fig. 8), a large number of rod-like bacteria adhered to the surface of EPD-0, many located in the micropores, covering ~ 15 of the surface. In comparison, substantially fewer bacteria adhered to EPD-60, covering ~ 3 %. Live/dead staining of samples co-cultured for 72 h (Fig. 9) detected practically no dead bacterial cells (would be red pixels) on EPD-0. In contrast, with the increase of EPD time, the other 4 groups gave increasingly intense fluorescence of dead bacterial cells and generally weakening fluorescence of viable ones.

#### Discussion

In this study, we prepared nano-sized MgO coatings on Ti by combining MAO with EPD for dental implantation. After MAO treatment of Ti, MgO was deposited on it from a MgO-acetone suspension. Acetone has advantages of low viscosity and high dielectric coefficient [22], allowing easy deposition of MgO nanoparticles and satisfactory coating uniformity. Compared with other coating methods for MgO (e.g., sol–gel, chemical or physical vapor deposition), EPD offers unique advantages of simple equipment, rapid preparation, and uniform coating, and is widely used for coating preparation [17, 23, 24].



**Fig. 7** a Representative photographs of colonies formed on samples after co-culture with *P. gingivalis* for 24 h; **b** bacterial colony numbers, numbers 2, 3, 4 indicate statistically significant differences (*p* < 0.05) vs. EPD-30, EPD-45, and EPD-60, respectively; **c** SOD activity value. Numbers 2, 3, 4, 5 indicate statistically significant differences (*p* < 0.05) vs. EPD-15, EPD-30, EPD-45, and EPD-60, respectively

All MgO-coated samples showed antibacterial activities against *P. gingivalis* with increasing antibacterial rates with EPD time, with EPD-60 attaining 79 % at 72 h (Fig. 7b). The reduction in SOD levels in all EPDtreated groups also corroborates the killing of the bacteria [25]. The reduction did not quantitatively correlate with the results of broth dilution. This may be partly related to experimental methodologies and errors, and further studies are required to better understand the roles of SOD and other antioxidases/enzymes in MgOtriggered bacterial destruction. Although the antibacterial rates observed in the present study are lower than those reported for silver nanoparticles and antibiotics, the MgO coatings offer advantages of low toxicity and inducing no drug resistance. In addition, the EPD technique is applicable to a variety of coating materials. Therefore, in future studies, we expect to use EPD to deposit composite coatings of MgO with other materials on Ti implants to further enhance their short-term antibacterial activity and bioactivity. Sreekanth et al. combined EPD with plasma electrolytic oxidation to fabricate a composite coating of



Fig. 8 SEM micrographs of EPD-0 and EPD-60 after co-culture with P. gingivalis for 72 h. White circles: P. gingivalis cells



Fig. 9 Micrographs of samples after Live/Dead fluorescent staining on co-cultured with *P. gingivalis* for 10 h. Red pixels: dead *P. gingivalis* cells; green pixels: viable *P. gingivalis* cells. All scale bars: 100 µm

MgO/hydroxyapatite on magnesium alloy, and found that the coating significantly improved the bioactivity of the Mg alloy [26]. Many studies have reported antibacterial properties of MgO. Makhluf et al. synthesized MgO nanoparticles (8–23 nm) by microwave-assisted reactions, and found 99 % and 95 % antibacterial activities against both *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) and *S. aureus*, with increasing rates recorded from smaller particles [27]. However, the antibacterial mechanisms of MgO remain inconclusive. Some studies [28–30] suggested it to be related to reactive oxygen species (ROS) damage and increase in pH. It was reported that, MgO undergoes catalytic reactions with O<sub>2</sub> to superoxide anions (O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) [28]. MgO also reacts with water to generate Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>, which creates an alkaline environment to likely cause bacterial membrane damage and death [29]. Dong et al. co-cultured Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> with *E. coli*, and observed that OH<sup>-</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions in Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> water suspension were found not to be the reason for killing [30]. Huang et al. fabricated MgO particles of various size ranges, co-cultured them with two bacterial species, and found increased antibacterial effects with decreasing particle sizes. They suggested that, small particles (with larger surface areas) generated higher concentrations of  $O_2^{-}$ , which disrupted the bacterial cell membrane [31]. The mechanism of the coating in this study is still under investigation, and the results will be reported in the future.

Upon co-culturing the samples with HGF cells, a moderate cytotoxicity was observed on day 1 (Fig. 4); this may be related to factors such as the increase in pH

value and ROS production. Nevertheless, the cytotoxicity decreased with the incubation time; this may be related to the stable incubation environment and the decomposition of ROS. Consistent with our findings, many other studies have reported the biocompatibility of MgO. Li et al. fabricated polymethylmethacrylate containing MgO and cultured extracts with MC3T3-E1 for 1-7 d; they found that the viability of cells exposed to the extract derived from the MgO-containing cement was significantly higher than those exposed to that from the MgOfree one [32]. Yu et al. prepared MgO coating on Ti by a sol-gel method and co-cultured it with osteoblasts for 5 d; they found that the MgO coating yielded improved biocompatibility and alkaline phosphatase activity than did the uncoated Ti [33]. The in vivo antibacterial and osteogenic properties of the coating in animal models have not been evaluated in this study, and further studies are needed to address these limitations and further explore the properties of the coating.

#### Conclusion

The combination of MAO and EPD provides a simple, rapid, and readily adaptable approach to fabricate MgO coatings on Ti dental implants. The resultant coatings showed good in vitro antibacterial property and biocompatibility. The coating prepared by EPD for 45 s showed great comprehensive performance, giving a antibacterial rate 79 % at 72 h and relative cell viability 85 % at 5 d. Future studies will investigate the antibacterial properties, antibacterial mechanism and osteogenic properties of the coating.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Science & Technology Program of Sichuan Province (2022YFS0628, 2020YFS0455); College-City Cooperation Project of Nanchong City (20SXQT0335, 22SXJCQN0002); Luzhou-SWMU Cooperation Program (2020LZXNYDZ08, 2020LZXNYDF02); Industry-University Research Project of SWMU (2022CXY03), and Luxian-SWMU Joint Project (2020LXXNYKD-01). We thank Dongqin Xiao, Jiyuan Yan, Kui He, and Zhong Li for their help in this study.

#### Author contributions

XLF and JHD participated in the design of the study , wrote the main body of the paper and carried out the experiments, YHL Conducted a research and investigation process, DQX and JYY analyzed and supplemented the data, KH and ZL scrub data and maintain research data for initial use and later reuse and all authors had read the article.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Human gingival tissue samples were collected from a patient undergoing tooth extraction at Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Stomatological Hospital of Shandong University. For experiments involving human tissue, informed consent has been obtained by patient Runzhe Yang. This study was approved by Ethics Review Committee of Shandong University, and all experiments were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 27 September 2023 Accepted: 18 November 2023 Published online: 27 November 2023

#### References

- 1. Zitzmann NU, Berglundh T. Definition and prevalence of peri-implant diseases. J Clin Periodontol. 2008;35:286–91.
- de Avila ED, de Molon RS, Lima BP, Lux R, Shi W, Junior MJ, Spolidorio DM, Vergani CE, de Assis Mollo Junior F. Impact of physical chemical characteristics of abutment implant surfaces on bacteria adhesion. J Oral Implantol. 2016;42:153–8.
- Wisdom C, Chen C, Yuca E, Zhou Y, Tamerler C, Snead ML. Repeatedly applied peptide film kills bacteria on dental implants. JOM. 2019;71:1271–80.
- Nyan M, Hao J, Miyahara T, Noritake K, Rodriguez R, Kasugai S. Accelerated and enhanced bone formation on novel simvastatin-loaded porous titanium oxide surfaces. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2014;16:675–83.
- Li LH, Kong YM, Kim HW, Kim YW, Kim HE, Heo SJ, Koak JY. Improved biological performance of Ti implants due to surface modification by micro-arc oxidation. Biomaterials. 2004;25:2867–75.
- Sul YT, Johansson C, Byon E, Albrektsson T. The bone response of oxidized bioactive and non-bioactive titanium implants. Biomaterials. 2005;26:6720–30.
- Jia Z, Xiu P, Li M, Xu X, Shi Y, Cheng Y, Wei S, Zheng Y, Xi T, Cai H, Liu Z. Bioinspired anchoring AgNPs onto micro-nanoporous TiO<sub>2</sub> orthopedic coatings: trap-killing of bacteria, surface-regulated osteoblast functions and host responses. Biomaterials. 2016;75:203–22.
- McShan D, Ray PC, Yu H. Molecular toxicity mechanism of nanosilver. J Food Drug Anal. 2014;22:116–27.
- Chairuangkitti P, Lawanprasert S, Roytrakul S, Aueviriyavit S, Phummiratch D, Kulthong K, Chanvorachote P, Maniratanachote R. Silver nanoparticles induce toxicity in A549 cells via ROS-dependent and ROS-independent pathways. Toxicol In Vitro. 2013;27:330–8.
- 10. Dodds DR. Antibiotic resistance: a current epilogue. Biochem Pharmacol. 2017;134:139–46.
- Beyth N, Houri-Haddad Y, Domb A, Khan W, Hazan R. Alternative antimicrobial approach: nano-antimicrobial materials. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2015;2015: 246012.
- El-Shaer A, Abdelfatah M, Mahmoud KR, Momay S, Eraky MR. Correlation between photoluminescence and positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy to characterize defects in calcined MgO nanoparticles as a first step to explain antibacterial activity. J Alloys Compd. 2020;817: 152799.
- Leung YH, Ng AM, Xu X, Shen Z, Gethings LA, Wong MT, Chan CM, Guo MY, Ng YH, Djurišić AB, Lee PK, Chan WK, Yu LH, Phillips DL, Ma AP, Leung FC. Mechanisms of antibacterial activity of MgO: non-ROS mediated toxicity of MgO nanoparticles towards Escherichia coli. Small. 2014;10:1171–83.
- Lin H, Han R, Huang PP, Xu LB, Yang J, Ma L. Study on the antibacterial properties of nano-magnesium oxide films prepared on the surface of pure titanium. Chinese J Prosthodont. 2022;23:86–93.
- Coelho CC, Padrão T, Costa L, Pinto MT, Costa PC, Domingues VF, Quadros PA, Monteiro FJ, Sousa SR. The antibacterial and angiogenic effect of magnesium oxide in a hydroxyapatite bone substitute. Sci Rep. 2020;10:19098.
- Boccaccini AR, Keim S, Ma R, Li Y, Zhitomirsky I. Electrophoretic deposition of biomaterials. J R Soc Interface. 2010;7(Suppl 5):5581-613.
- Suntharavel Muthaiah VM, Rajput M, Tripathi A, Suwas S, Chatterjee K. Electrophoretic deposition of nanocrystalline calcium phosphate coating for augmenting bioactivity of additively manufactured Ti-6Al-4V. ACS Mater Au. 2022;2:132–42.

- Hickey DJ, Muthusamy D, Webster TJ. Electrophoretic deposition of MgO nanoparticles imparts antibacterial properties to poly-L-lactic acid for orthopedic applications. J Biomed Mater Res Part A. 2017;105:3136–47.
- Hosseinbabaei F, Raissidehkordi B. Electrophoretic deposition of MgO thick films from an acetone suspension. J Eur Ceram Soc. 2000;20:2165–8.
- 20. Soares ASLS, Scelza MZ, Spoladore J, Gallito MA, Oliveira F, Moraes RCM, Alves GG. Comparison of primary human gingival fibroblasts from an older and a young donor on the evaluation of cytotoxicity of denture adhesives. J Appl Oral Sci. 2018;26: e20160594.
- ISO 10993–5:2009. Biological evaluation of medical devices—part 5: tests for in vitro cytotoxicity. International Organization for Standardization; (2009).
- Li X, Qi M, Sun X, Weir MD, Tay FR, Oates TW, Dong B, Zhou Y, Wang L, Xu HHK. Surface treatments on titanium implants via nanostructured ceria for antibacterial and anti-inflammatory capabilities. Acta Biomater. 2019;94:627–43.
- Hu S, Li W, Finklea H, Liu X. A review of electrophoretic deposition of metal oxides and its application in solid oxide fuel cells. Adv Colloid Interface Sci. 2020;276: 102102.
- Park JE, Park IS, Bae TS, Lee MH. Electrophoretic Deposition of Carbon Nanotubes over TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanotubes: evaluation of Surface Properties and Biocompatibility. Bioinorg Chem Appl. 2014;2014: 236521.
- Khan S, P MR, Rizvi A, Alam MM, Rizvi M, Naseem I. ROS mediated antibacterial activity of photoilluminated riboflavin: A photodynamic mechanism against nosocomial infections. Toxicol Rep.2019;6:136-142
- Sreekanth D, Rameshbabu N. Development and characterization of MgO/ hydroxyapatite composite coating on AZ31 magnesium alloy by plasma electrolytic oxidation coupled with electrophoretic deposition. Mater Lett. 2012;68:439–42.
- Makhluf S, Dror R, Nitzan Y, Abramovich Y, Jelinek R, Gedanken A. Microwave-assisted synthesis of nanocrystalline MgO and its use as a bacteriocide. Adv Funct Mater. 2005;15:1708–15.
- 28. Blecher K, Nasir A, Friedman A. The growing role of nanotechnology in combating infectious disease. Virulence. 2011;2:395–401.
- Tan J, Liu Z, Wang D, Zhang X, Qian S, Liu X. A facile and universal strategy to endow implant materials with antibacterial ability via alkalinity disturbing bacterial respiration. Biomater Sci. 2020;8:1815–29.
- Dong C, Cairney J, Sun QH, Maddan OL, He GH, Deng YL. Investigation of Mg(OH)2 nanoparticles as an antibacterial agent. J Nanopart Res. 2009;12:2101–9.
- Huang L, Li DQ, Lin YJ, Wei M, Evans DG, Duan X. Controllable preparation of Nano-MgO and investigation of its bactericidal properties. J Inorg Biochem. 2005;99:986–93.
- Li C, Sun J, Shi K, Long J, Li L, Lai Y, Qin L. Preparation and evaluation of osteogenic nano-MgO/PMMA bone cement for bone healing in a rat critical size calvarial defect. J Mater Chem B. 2020;8:4575–86.
- Yu SZ, Li ZH, Han LW, Zhao YT, Tao F. Biocompatible MgO film on titanium substrate prepared by sol-gel method. Rare Metal Mat Eng. 2018;47:2663–7.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

