

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction: Efficacy of the PainVision apparatus for assessment of axial neck pain after cervical laminoplasty: a prospective study

Takeshi Inoue^{1*}, Shigeru Soshi¹, Shun Yamamoto¹ and Mitsuru Saito¹

Correction: Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research (2023) 18:473
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-023-03904-4>

The authors apologise for this error.
The original article [1] has been corrected.

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors identified an error in Tables 1, 2, 4, and 5. The corrected figures are given below.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-023-03904-4>.

*Correspondence:

Takeshi Inoue
inoue@jikei.ac.jp

¹ Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, The Jikei University School of Medicine, 3-25-8 Nishishimbashi, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-8461, Japan



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Table 1 The pre- and post-operative scores in each pain assessment method and results of the Friedman test

		Preop.	3 months postop.	6 months postop.	12 months postop.	18 months postop.	24 months postop.	p-value
Pain degree (PD)	Median (IQR)	82.5 (23.5–254.75)	63.5 (6.75–127.5)	52.0 (2–107)	47.0 (0–102.75)	43.0 (0–98.5)	31.0 (0–89)	p<0.001
VAS	Median (IQR)	43.5 (10.25–68.75)	23.5 (8.5–47)	20.0 (7–49)	20.0 (5.25–45.75)	20.0 (0–43)	16.0 (0–33)	p<0.001
Bodily pain (BP)	Median (IQR)	35.4 (26.9–44.7)	40.3 (35.4–50.1)	40.3 (35.4–50.1)	40.3 (35.4–49.9)	40.3 (35.4–50.1)	44.7 (35.4–54.6)	p<0.001

All three pain assessment methods were found to be significantly improved postoperatively by the Friedman test

Preop. Preoperatively, Postop. Postoperatively, IQR interquartile range, VAS visual analogue scale

Table 2 Comparison of pre- and post-operative scores in each pain assessment method using the Bonferroni method

	3 months	6 months	12 months	18 months	24 months
<i>PD</i>					
Preop.	0.023	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000
3 months		1.000	1.000	1.000	0.684
6 months			1.000	1.000	1.000
12 months				1.000	1.000
18 months					1.000
<i>VAS</i>					
Preop.	0.230	0.570	0.013	0.002	0.000
3 months		1.000	1.000	1.000	0.074
6 months			1.000	1.000	0.024
12 months				1.000	0.861
18 months					1.000
<i>BP</i>					
Preop.	0.010	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000
3 months		1.000	1.000	1.000	0.290
6 months			1.000	1.000	0.480
12 months				1.000	1.000
18 months					1.000

Preop. Preoperatively, PD pain degree, VAS visual analogue scale, BP bodily pain

Table 4 Comparison of the amounts of change between pre- and post-operative scores in each pain assessment method using the Bonferroni method

	6 months	12 months	18 months	24 months
<i>PD</i>				
3 months	1.000	0.530	0.022	0.025
6 months		1.000	0.323	0.358
12 months			1.000	1.000
18 months				1.000
<i>VAS</i>				
3 months	1.000	1.000	0.055	0.004
6 months		1.000	0.248	0.028
12 months			1.000	0.262
18 months				1.000
<i>BP</i>				
3 months				
6 months				
12 months				
18 months				

For BP, multiple comparisons were not performed because the Friedman test was not significant

PD pain degree, VAS visual analogue scale, BP bodily pain

Table 5 Correlation analyses between the three pain assessment methods (PD, VAS, and BP) using the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient test

	PD Preop.	PD 3 months	PD 6 months	PD 12 months	PD 18 months	PD 24 months
<i>PD vs VAS</i>						
VAS Preop.	r=0.61 p<0.001					
VAS 3 months		r=0.63 p<0.001				
VAS 6 months			r=0.63 p<0.001			
VAS 12 months				r=0.79 p<0.001		
VAS 18 months					r=0.84 p<0.001	
VAS 24 months						r=0.85 p<0.001
<i>PD vs BP</i>						
BP Preop.	r=-0.21 p=0.02					
BP 3 months		r=-0.40 p<0.001				
BP 6 months			r=-0.38 p<0.001			
BP 12 months				r=-0.37 p<0.001		
BP 18 months					r=-0.32 p=0.001	
BP 24 months						r=-0.30 p=0.002
	VAS Preop.	VAS 3 months	VAS 6 months	VAS 12 months	VAS 18 months	VAS 24 months
<i>VAS vs BP</i>						
BP Preop.	r=-0.29 p=0.001					
BP 3 months		r=-0.45 p<0.001				
BP 6 months			r=-0.47 p<0.001			
BP 12 months				r=-0.42 p<0.001		
BP 18 months					r=-0.34 p<0.001	
BP 24 months						r=-0.42 p<0.001

Correlation analyses revealed significant positive correlations between PD and VAS at each time point (all $p < 0.001$), as well as significant negative correlations between PD and BP (all $p < 0.05$) and significant negative correlations between VAS and BP at each time point (all $p < 0.01$).

Preop. Preoperatively, *PD* pain degree, *VAS* visual analogue scale, *BP* bodily pain

Published online: 24 October 2023

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Reference

- Inoue T, Soshi S, Yamamoto S, Saito M. Efficacy of the PainVision apparatus for assessment of axial neck pain after cervical laminoplasty: a prospective study. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2023;18:473. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-023-03904-4>.