# Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research



Research article Open Access

# Microdecompression for lumbar synovial cysts: an independent assessment of long term outcomes

Bradley K Weiner\*1, Joel Torretti2 and Michael Stauff3

Address: <sup>1</sup>Division of Spinal Surgery The Methodist Hospital 6550 Fannin, Suite 2500 Houston, Texas 77030, USA, <sup>2</sup>Department of Orthopaedics Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center Hanover, New Hampshire, USA and <sup>3</sup>Penn State College of Medicine Hershey, Pennsylvania, USA

Received: 10 October 2006 Accepted: 3 April 2007

Email: Bradley K Weiner\* - bkweiner@tmh.tmc.edu; Joel Torretti - jtorretti@psu.edu; Michael Stauff - mstauff@psu.edu \* Corresponding author

Published: 3 April 2007

Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research 2007, 2:5 doi:10.1186/1749-799X-2-5

This article is available from: http://www.josr-online.com/content/2/1/5

© 2007 Weiner et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This article is available from: http://www.josr-online.com/content/2/1/3

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</a>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### **Abstract**

**Background:** Outcomes of surgical intervention for lumbar synovial cysts have been evaluated in the short and intermediate term. Concerns regarding cyst recurrence, the development of late instability at the involved level, and instability/stenosis at adjacent levels (when concomitant) fusion is performed suggest that long term follow-up is needed. This study aims to fill that void.

**Methods:** Forty-six patients operated by a single surgeon not involved in the study were followed up long term at an average of 9.7 years (range 5 to 22 years) post-operatively. All patients underwent decompression (+/- concomitant arthrodesis in the presence of associated degenerative spondylolisthesis) using the operative microscope for magnification/illumination. Outcomes were assessed using a customized questionnaire evaluating: relief of pain/claudicant symptoms, numbness/parasthesias, and weakness; as well as late onset low back pain, new radicular symptoms, need for additional surgery, and patient satisfaction. Outcomes in patients with or without fusion were compared as well.

**Results:** 87% of patients noted resolution of their pre-operative pain, numbness, and weakness. 28% of patients developed late onset low back pain. 17% developed late onset radicular symptoms in a new nerve root distribution. 15% required subsequent additional surgery. 89% of patients were satisfied with the surgical outcome. No differences were found for any outcome measure between patients undergoing concomitant fusion and those undergoing decompression alone using the two-sample t-test.

**Conclusion:** This study provides outcome data at an average of nearly ten years post-operative. This information should allow surgeons to provide realistic expectations for their patients regarding outcomes and should enhance the informed consent and surgical decision-making process.

#### **Background**

Although originally recognized in peripheral joints by Baker in 1877[1,2], synovial cysts of the lumbar facet joints were not described until 1950 in the German litera-

ture [3,4] and were first well-delineated in English by Kao in the late 1960's/early 1970's [5,6]. Since then, CT and MRI scanning (Figure 1) have afforded highly sensitive and specific diagnosis of the cysts and the oft-associated

compression of neurological structures. Such compression can result in radiculopathy, neurogenic claudication, and, rarely, cauda equina syndrome [7-11].

While multiple non-operative therapies have been implemented [12-16], few have demonstrated significant or lasting efficacy when used to treat patients with moderate or severe symptoms such as intractable pain or neurological deficit[12,14,16,17]. Accordingly, surgical intervention is commonly performed on patients in this group and several studies have demonstrated reasonable outcomes at short to intermediate term follow-up [7,11,15,18-23]. The longest follow-up published prior to the current study has been forty months and concerns about recurrence of the cysts, instability at the involved level (when isolated decompression is undertaken), or instability at adjacent levels (when concomitant fusion is performed) suggest that a longer-term look is needed to better understand the implications of our interventions.

The purpose of this study was to independently evaluate the long-term clinical outcomes in patients who underwent microdecompression with or without concomitant arthrodesis for symptomatic lumbar synovial cysts unresponsive to non-operative measures. The average follow-up of 9.7 years (range five to 22 years) represents the longest follow-up to date; the minimum follow-up for inclusion of five years being greater than the previously reported maximum follow-up of 3.25 years.



Figure I
A typical case of synovial cyst at L5-S1.

# Methods

### Surgical Technique

Patients were placed under general endotracheal anesthesia and placed in a kneeling position on a standard frame. The involved level(s) was marked preoperatively using carm imaging. A midline skin incision was made and the dorsolumbar fascia incised just lateral to the midline ipsilateral to the synovial cyst. Unilateral laminae were exposed using the Cobb elevator to the mid-portion of the facet joint. An intraoperative radiograph was used to confirm the level. A laminotomy on the undersurface of the cephalad lamina was undertaken to mirror the cephalad extent of the cyst as determined by pre-operative MRI. A similar caudal laminotomy was performed, again to mirror the extent of the cyst. Ligmantum flavum was then excised and the subarticular and foraminal zones decompressed via complete excision of soft-tissue/bony stenosing lesions to include extirpation of the synovial cyst. If the cyst was adherent to the dura (a common finding), it was carefully teased free so that no cyst pseudocapsule remained. The facet joint was opened and residual synovial tissue excised. If the patient had neurogenic claudication, a contralateral microdecompression as previously described[24] was undertaken. If the patient had an associated degenerative spondylolisthesis, bilateral uninstrumented intertransverse fusion as well as facet joint fusion was undertaken as previously described[25]. Magnification/illumination was provided by the operative microscope in all cases. The wound was irrigated, hemostasis obtained, and closure carried out in standard fashion.

#### Patients (Table 1)

Forty-six patients operated between 1984 and 2001 were available for follow-up. All surgeries were performed by a single surgeon who was not involved in the study. Age at surgery ranged from 25 to 96 years with a mean of 73 years. Twenty-nine were females and seventeen males. Twenty-eight cysts were at the L4-L5 level, eight at L5-S1, six at L3-L4, and one each at L1-L2 and L2-L3. Clinical syndromes included unilateral monoradiculopathy in eighteen patients and neurogenic claudication in twenty-eight. Radiographically, twenty-three had an associated degenerative spondylolisthesis and underwent concomitant arthrodesis. This was the only indication for fusion in the study population. This follow-up study was approved by the institutional review board and oral consent was obtained from all participants.

#### Data

Clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction were assessed by two independent spine surgeons using the questionnaire in Table 2. All forty-six patients responded.

**Table I: Patient Characteristics** 

Number	46	
Age in years	73 (range 25–96)	
Sex	29 Females 17 Males	
Anatomic Level of Cyst	L4-L5	28
	L5-S1	8
	L3-L4	6
	L2-L3	1
	LI-L2	I
Clinical Syndromes	Neurogenic Claudication	28
·	Monoradiculopathy	18
Associated Degenerative Spondylolisthesis		23

## Results (Table 3)

Follow-up averaged 9.7 years with a range of five to 22 years.

#### Same-Site Pain/Similar Symptoms

Forty of the forty-six patients (88%) reported relief of their preoperative pain/symptoms. Six (12%) had persisting complaints ranked in severity at an average of 5.5 on the visual analog scale (VAS: range 2–10) versus an average of 9 on VAS preoperatively. All patients had pain or claudication preoperatively.

#### Same-site Numbness

Twenty-three patients (50%) reported preoperative numbness. Of these, at follow-up, twenty (87%) reported complete or near-complete resolution, two (9%) were the same, and one (4%) was worse.

#### Same-Site Weakness

Nineteen (41%) had complained of weakness prior to surgery. Of these, at follow-up, sixteen (84%) reported complete resolution, two (11%) reported no significant change in strength, and one (5%) was worse than preoperatively.

#### **New Back Pain**

After initially doing well, thirteen patients (28%) reported the eventual development of new back pain ranked on average at 7.5 on the VAS.

#### **New Leg Pain**

Eight patients (17%) reported the eventual onset of new radicular leg pain (different root involved) with a mean VAS severity of 7.4.

### **Additional Surgery**

Seven patients (15%) reported the need for additional lumbar spine surgery. Three patients who had not undergone fusion at the initial surgery required eventual revision decompression and fusion to include the operated levels due to instablility. Four patients who had undergone concomitant arthrodesis at the primary surgery due to presence of a degenerative spondylolisthesis eventually developed juxtafusional stenosis/instability requiring secondary decompression and fusion at involved adjacent levels.

#### **Patient Satisfaction**

Forty-one patients (89%) reported overall satisfaction with the outcome of their initial procedure and would recommend it to a friend with the same problem.

# Table 2: Questionnaire

- 1. Do you have numbness or tingling in your leg(s) similar to what you had before surgery? (Better, Same, Worse)
- 2. Do you have weakness in your leg(s) similar to what you had before surgery? (Better, Same, Worse).
- 3. Do you still have pain/symptoms in the same site that made you have surgery in the first place? (Rated on Visual Analog Scale)
- 4. Have you developed back pain over the years that is new/different than before surgery? (Rated on Visual Analog Scale)
- 5. Have you developed leg pain over the years that is new/different than before your surgery? (Rated on Visual Analog Scale)
- 6. Have you had additional surgery on your back? (Type of surgery, Reason for surgery)
- 7. Are you happy with the results of the surgery and would you recommend it to a friend with the same problem?

Positive responses on questionnaire were then followed up for specific details via telephone interview.

Table 3: Summary of Results

Complaint	% of patients having; % of patients symptom-free	
Same Site Pain/Symptoms	12% averaging 5.5 on VAS; 88% resolved	
Same Site Numbness/Tingling	9% same, 4% worse; 87% resolved	
Same Site Weakness	11% same, 5% worse; 84% resolved	
New Back Pain	28% averaging 7.5 on VAS; 72% pain free	
New Radiculopathy	17% averaging 7.4 on VAS; 83% pain free	
Additional Surgery	15%	

# Did Presence of a Spondylolisthesis/Need for Fusion Alter Outcomes?

There were no statistically significant differences for *any* of the outcome measures above between patients presenting without a degenerative spondylolisthesis (decompression alone) and those presenting with one (decompression with concomitant fusion) using the two-sample t-test.

#### **Discussion and Conclusion**

This study demonstrates that at an average of nearly ten years following decompressive surgery for symptomatic lumbar synovial cysts (with associated fusion if a degenerative spondylolisthesis is present), patients can anticipate: (a) about an 85% likelihood that their preoperative pain/claudication, numbness, and weakness will be resolved, (b) about a 25% likelihood of developing later onset back pain, (c) about 15% likelihood of developing later onset radicular symptoms in a new nerve root distribution, (d) that they have a 15% likelihood of needing additional lumbar surgery, and that (e) about nine out of ten patients are happy with the results of surgery.

These findings are generally commensurate with those of other studies having evaluated outcomes at much shorter intervals. Howington[7] achieved 88% good/excellent results at 40 months and Lyons[15] found similar results but with much shorter term follow-up. Khan[23] reported about 80% success rates at 26 months. At the extremes are Sandhu[20], Metellus[19], Pirotte[22], and Trummer[18] who reported between 95% and 100% success rates; and Epstein[21] who reported 60% good/excellent results at 24 months. The former studies likely representing snapshots commonly encountered in short-term retrospective studies, the latter probably representing cases associated with the need for more extensive laminectomies given that 16 of 66 patients in this study went onto develop significant and progressive instability at the operated level.

The value of the current study, given that its follow-up is dramatically longer than any previously published, is that it demonstrates, generally, that the beneficial effects of surgical intervention seen at shorter and intermediate time frames appear to persist, however some patients will develop late-onset low back pain, radicular pain, and may

need additional surgery long term. This additional information should allow surgeons to provide realistic expectations for their patients regarding outcomes and should enhance the informed consent and surgical decision-making process.

The potential weaknesses of long term studies such as this are two-fold. First, over a period of ten to twenty years patients may go on to further degeneration or develop new medical comorbidities such that their overall health status (SF-36) or disease specific status (ODI) may actually appear worse than their pre-operative status – despite the fact that their specific reasons for surgery (e.g.; severe L5 root pain) may well have been relieved by the intervention. Long term studies are one of the rare cases where very specific outcome measures are indicated to ferret out this information, hence the choice of custom questionnaire used. Second, over that ten to twenty year period of time, the standards of care and the evidence base may have changed such that the information provided by the study is no longer relevant. This is a common problem in the total hip/knee replacement literature where long term outcomes are provided for prostheses no longer manufactured and surgical approaches no longer used. Just as the first potential weakness was avoided by intention, this second potential weakness was avoided by good fortune. Twenty-two years down the road, the standard of care, commensurate with the current evidence base, remains decompression of involved neurological tissue by complete excision of the cyst (including residual synovial tissue to avoid recurrence), excision of ligamentum flavum and other soft tissue and bony compressive pathology, and concomitant arthodesis in the presence of a degenerative spondylolisthesis.

#### References

- Baker WM: Formation of synovial cysts in connection with joints. St Bartholomews Hosp Rep 1885, 21:177-190.
- Baker WM: On the formation of synovial cysts in the leg in connection with disease of the knee joint. 1877. Clin Orthop 1994, 299:2-10.
- Vosschulte K, Borger G: Anatomische and funktinonelle untersuchugen iiber ben bandsheibenprolaps. Langenbecks Arch Klin Chir 1950, 265:329-355.
- Schollner D: Ganglion on a vertebral joint. Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb 1967, 102:619-620.

- Kao CC, Uilein A, Bickel WH, Turner JH: Lumbar intraspinal extradural ganglion cyst. J neurosurg 1968, 29:168-172.
- 6. Kao CC, Winkler SS, Turner JH: Synovial cyst of the spinal facet. J Neurosurg 1974, 41:372-376.
- Howington JU, Connolly ES, Voorhies RM: Intraspinal synovial cysts. J neurosurg 1999, 91:193-199. Hsu KY: Lumbar intraspinal synovial and ganglion cysts. Spine
- 8. 1995, **20:**80-89.
- Yarde WL, Arnold PM, Kepes JJ, Wilkinson SP, Batnitski S: Synovial cysts of the lumbar spine. Surg Neurol 1995, 43:459-464.
- Baum JA, Hanley EN Jr: Intraspinal synovial cyst simulating spinal stenosis. Spine 1986, 11:487-489.
- 11. Kurz LT, Garfin SR, Ungar AS, Thorne RP, Rothman RH: Intraspinal synovial cyst causing sciatica. | Bone | Joint Surg 1985, 67A:865-871
- 12. Abrahams JJ, Wood GW, Eames FA: CT-guided needle aspiration biopsy of an intraspinal synovial cyst. Am J Neuroradiol 1988, 9:398-400.
- Lemish W, Apsimon T, Chakera T: Lumbar intraspinal synovial cysts. Spine 1989, 14:1378-1383.
- 14. Parlier-Cuau C, Wybier M, Nizard R, Champsaur P, Laredo JD: Symptomatic lumbar synovial facet cysts. Radiology 1999, 210:509-513.
- 15. Lyons MK, Atkinson JL, Wharen RE, Dean HD, Zimmerman RS: Surgical evaluation and management of lumbar synovial cysts. J neurosurg 2000, 93:53-57.
- 16. Bjorkgren AG, Kurz LT, Resnick D, Sartorius DJ, Garfin SR: Symptomatic intraspinal synovial cysts: percutaneous injection. Am | Roent 1987, 149:105-107.
- 17. Shah RV, Lutz GE: Lumbar intraspinal synovial cysts:conservative management and review of the world's literature. Spine
- 18. Trummer M, Laschka F, Tillich M, Homann CN, Unger F: Diagnosis and surgical management of intraspinal synovial cysts. | Neurol Neurosurg Psych 2001, 70:74-77.
- Metellus P, Fuentes S, Adetchessi T, Levrier O, Flores-Parra I, Taliano D: Retrospective study of 77 patients harbouring lumbar synovial cysts. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2006, 148:4754.
- 20. Sandhu FA, Santiago P, Fessler RG, Palmer S: Minimally invasive surgical treatment of lumbar synovial cysts. Neurosurg 2003, 54:107-112.
- 21. Epstein NE: Lumbar laminectomy for resection of lumbar synovial cysts: an outcome study. Spine 2004, 29:1049-1056.
- 22. Pirotte B, Gabrovsky N, Massager N, Levivier M, David P: Synovial cysts of the lumbar spine. J Neurosurg 2003, 995:14-19.
- Khan AM, Synnot K, Cammissa FP, Girardi FP: Lumbar synovial cysts of the spine: and evaluation of surgical outcome.  $\int Sp$ Disord Tech 2005, 18:127-131.
- 24. Weiner BK, Walker M, Brower RS, McCulloch JA: Microdecompression for lumbar spinal canal stenosis. 24:2268-2272
- 25. McCulloch JA: Microdecompression and uninstrumented single-level fusion for spinal canal stenosis and degenerative spondylolisthesis. Spine 1998, 23:2243-2252.

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- · yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing\_adv.asp

